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Abstract

Background: Implementation of sperm preparation techniques based on cellular and molecular characteristics can improve the clinical outcomes of couples with male factor infertility. These methods attempt to select better sperm compared to classical methods of preparation such as swim-up and density gradient centrifugation (DGC). In this view, the aim of this study was the comparison of clinical outcomes of magnetic-activated cell separation (MACS) followed by DGC or DGC alone in infertile men undergoing intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI).

Materials and Methods: For this prospective single parallel blind clinical trial study, 206 infertile couples with male factor infertility and having abnormal sperm morphology higher than 96% were included. 106 and 100 couples were considered for the study (MACS-DGC) and control group (DGC), respectively. Clinical outcomes of ICSI; fertilization, embryo quality, and implantation, pregnancy rates were compared between two groups.

Results: Mean of fertilization (80.19 ± 1.88 vs. 75.63 ± 2.06, P=0.1), top embryo quality on the day 3 (30.22 ± 3.59 vs. 17.96 ± 2.9, P=0.009), clinical pregnancy (30.76% vs. 22.22%, P=0.19), and implantation rate (18.12% vs. 10.42%, P=0.04) were higher in the study group compared to the control group.

Conclusion: Sperm preparation by MACS followed by DGC in teratozoospermic men could improve the clinical outcomes after ICSI (Registration number: IRCT201610317223N8).
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Introduction

The introduction of assisted reproduction techniques (ARTs) led to the development of a range of treatments for human infertility. It is however remaining unknown, whether the implementation of these techniques would improve the pregnancy rate (1). Density gradient centrifugation (DGC) and swim-up procedures are widely used for sperm preparation in ART. However, novel sperm selection procedures are being gradually introduced to this field, particularly for selecting sperm prior to intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) (2, 3).

Phosphatidylserine (PS), an anionic phospholipid, is one of the apoptosis or programmed cell death markers, actively translocates from the inner membrane to the outer surface of the sperm and is a signal for specific recognition cells for phagocyte the apoptotic cells (4, 5).

Externalization of PS allows Annexin V, a calcium-dependent phospholipid-binding protein with a high affinity for PS, to bind to apoptotic sperm and isolate them from the original population. To achieve the selection of sperm based on this natural principle, magnetic-activated cell separation (MACS) has been developed. MACS separate apoptotic from non-apoptotic sperm, through a column in presence of a magnetic field by applying Annexin V coated beads which bind to PS on the surface of apoptotic sperm (6-8). In this regard, previous studies demonstrated MACS have the ability to prepare a sperm population with better DNA integrity (9-14).

It is well known that serum-supplemented sperm washing media may facilitate the process of PS externalization as the physiological process during capacitation. Therefore, processing the sample through the DGC column in presence of a magnetic field by applying Annexin V coated beads may result in the deselection of healthy capacitated sperm by MACS procedure (15, 16). Therefore, we previously showed that MACS followed by DGC (MACS/DGC) has higher efficiency than DGC followed by MACS (DGC/MACS)
to deselect apoptotic sperm, especially in terms of DNA integrity (14, 17). It is of note that in DGC/MACS, capacitated sperm with normal chromatin integrity may be deselect. Based on the above finding, this clinical trial is designed to evaluate the clinical outcomes of MACS/DGC in couples undergoing ICSI with abnormal sperm morphology higher 96% (18).

Materials and Methods

Patient selection and study design

Trail design

The prospective single parallel blind clinical trial was initially approved by the Ethical Committee of Royan Institute in 2017 (IR.ACECR.ROYAN.REC.1395.156) and registered in RCT in 2017 (IRCT201610317223N8) and, was performed in Isfahan Fertility and Infertility Center. 250 couples with abnormal sperm morphology higher or equal than 96% (WHO 5th edition) were informed regarding the MACS sperm selection procedure (18).

The couples were informed that based on literature, MACS has the potential to improve fertilization rate, embryo quality, implantation, and pregnancy rates. The selection of couples was not based on sperm analysis on the day of ICSI and was based on the last semen analysis performed before beginning of ovulation induction. Sperm morphology was not analyzed on the day of ICSI.

Couples that accepted to participate provided written informed consent for their participation and couples who refused to participate and allowed usage of their clinical information for this research study were included in the control group. Sperm processing for MACS followed by DGC or DGC was carried out in the andrology unit. Clinicians and embryologists performing ICSI and embryo scoring were blind to the study.

Inclusion criteria: Primary infertile couples with male factors and abnormal sperm morphology higher or equal to 96% were included in this study.

Female partners were considered normal if they had normal hysterosalpingography, regular cycle, and normal antral follicular count. Therefore, women with endometriosis, adenomyosis, polycystic ovary syndrome, hydrosalpinx, uterine malformations, and a poor response to ovarian stimulation protocols were not included in this study.

Exclusion criteria: Couples who had no oocyte available after recovery, a high number of immature oocytes, a high number of dysmorphic oocytes, and/or had no embryo (fresh or frozen) for transfer when the data last analysis were carried out, were also excluded from the study.

Semen analysis

Semen samples were obtained from infertile men candidates for ICSI, in a sterile sperm collection container by masturbation after 2 to 7 days of sexual abstinence. Samples were kept at room temperature for the liquefaction process (15-30 minutes). For analyzing sperm motility, semen samples were kept at 37°C, and then the percentage of sperm motility was evaluated by computer-assisted sperm analysis (CASA) using a LABOMED CXL optical microscope. For assessment of sperm concentration, a sperm counting chamber (Garkheda, Aurangabad, India) was used, and the results were expressed as million per milliliter. Since the result of sperm morphology assessment was considered as one of the inclusion criteria in this study, we assessed sperm morphology in our andrology unit on the cycle before ovulation induction by diff-quick staining (Faradipardazpars, Iran) according to WHO criteria (18).

Sperm preparation by DGC procedure (control group)

Briefly, semen samples were layered over discontinuous gradients (90% and 45%) of Pure Sperm (Nidacon, Sweden) followed by 1000 rpm centrifugation for 15 minutes. Subsequently, the sperm pellet was re-suspended and rinsed twice with VitaSperm (Incolone, Iran) supplemented with 10% human serum albumin (HSA). The sperm pellet was diluted in the same medium, and used for the ICSI procedure (19).

Sperm preparation by MACS-DGC procedure (MACS group)

The sperm preparation method was performed according to our previous protocol by Tavalaee et al. (17) and Ziarati et al. (14). In brief, the sperm samples were first rinsed in a serum-free medium (Incolone, Iran). MACS sperm preparation was performed in absence of serum. Briefly, semen samples were rinsed with VitaSperm media and then washed sperm were diluted to ten million per ml. After that, one hundred microliters of microbeads solution (Miltene Biotec, India) was added to the sperm sample and was incubated for 15 minutes in the room. Then, the sperm sample was loaded into the MACS column (Milteny Biotec, India). Annexin negative sperm were passed the column while sperm with annexin positive was retained into the column. After that, MACS isolated sperm were laid on DGC gradients (90 and 45%), and finally the pellet was re-suspended and rinsed twice with VitaSperm supplemented with 10% HSA. Lastly, prepared sperm was used for the ICSI procedure.

ICSI procedure

The media used for ICSI procedure and embryo culture were purchased from Vitrolife (Gothenburg, Sweden, G5 series plus). Briefly, oocytes were denuded with hyaluronidase enzyme [Hyase (90IU/ml) in G-MOPS medium] after oocyte retrieval. Then, oocytes were washed and transferred to G-MOPS droplets in ICSI prepared dishes and, processed sperm from either the DGC or MACS procedures were introduced into ICSI-100 (a viscous medium that reduces the motility of sperm to comfort sperm handling) in the prepared ICSI dish.
ICSI outcomes

About 16-18 hours after ICSI, fertilization was evaluated by assessing for the presence of male and female pronucleus. Fertilization rate was defined as the number of fertilized oocytes over the number of inseminated oocytes times 100. On day 3 after oocyte recovery, the embryos quality and also embryo score of transferred embryos on transfer day were evaluated based on the Giorgetti group. A high-quality embryo was defined as an embryo with 6-8 cells of equal blastomere size and fragmentation less than 25%. The percentage of high-quality embryos was calculated by dividing the number of high-quality embryos over the total number of embryos assessed on day 3 times 100 (20-22). Embryos were either freshly transferred on day 3 or vitrified. For fresh transfers, the patient received two 50 mg progesterone injections per day. For transfer of vitrified embryos, the endometrium was prepared with the use of oral estradiol valerate at a dose of 8 mg per day, starting on the second or third day of the menstrual cycle. Endometrial thickness was monitored to ensure that the endometrial thickness reached 8 mm and then the female received two 50 mg progesterone injection per day. On the 4th day of progesterone injection, day 3 embryos were thawed and transferred on the same day. At 5 weeks after the embryo transfer, clinical pregnancy was assessed by the aid of vaginal ultrasound with and at least one embryo with a fetal heart rate was considered as clinical pregnancy. The implantation rate was defined by dividing the number of fetal sacs over the number of transferred embryos.

Statistical analysis

The obtained data from this study were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS 18, Chicago, IL, USA). For comparison of variations between study and control groups, independent sample t test and Chi-square were used. When the P<0.05, the differences were considered significant. All the data in the text, tables, and figure were expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).

Results

Out of 250 couples included in this study, 41 were excluded based on the exclusion criteria. Of the total of 206 remaining ICSI cycles, 106 and 100 were included in the study as a test and control group, respectively. Table 1 demonstrates characteristics of couples such as male and female age, total injected oocytes, number of previous ART, and also sperm parameters between study and control groups. All stated parameters including the age of couples, duration of infertility, number of previous ART cycles, semen characteristics, total oocytes were similar between study and control groups. Only, the mean number of previous ART courses was significantly higher in the study group.

Fertilization rate of MACS-DGC and DGC were 80.19 ± 1.88 and 75.63 ± 2.06 (P=0.1), respectively. However, MACS-DGC (30.22 ± 3.59) resulted in a significantly (P=0.009) higher percentage for embryos with grade A quality in comparison with DGC (17.96 ± 2.9) groups, respectively (Fig.1).

Table 1: Mean of semen parameters and couples’ characteristics in study and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Study group 106 cases (MACS-DGC)</th>
<th>Control group 100 cases (DGC)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male age (Y)</td>
<td>37.22 ± 00.64</td>
<td>37.58 ± 00.63</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female age (Y)</td>
<td>32.02 ± 00.55</td>
<td>31.55 ± 00.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperm concentration (10^6/ml)</td>
<td>24.38 ± 01.52</td>
<td>25.04 ± 01.44</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperm motility (%)</td>
<td>38.62 ± 01.36</td>
<td>35.71 ± 01.09</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal sperm morphology (%)</td>
<td>98.31 ± 00.09</td>
<td>98.20 ± 00.07</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total injected oocytes</td>
<td>07.78 ± 00.53</td>
<td>07.92 ± 00.57</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ART</td>
<td>01.60 ± 00.09</td>
<td>00.90 ± 00.09</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD. DGC; Density gradient centrifugation, MACS; Magnetic-activated cell sorting, and ART; Assisted reproductive technology.

In the study, group of 106 ICSI cycles, 54 and 37 cases had a fresh embryo or frozen embryo transfer, respectively, which resulted in the formation of 28 clinical pregnancies which ended up in the birth of 19 healthy singletons, 5 twins, and 4 abortions. While in the control group, 57 and 33 cases received fresh and frozen embryos respectively, which resulted in the formation of 20 clinical pregnancies consequently the birth of 15 singletons, 1 twin as well as 4 abortions. The mean percentage of clinical pregnancy showed an insignificantly (P=0.19) higher rate in the study group (30.76%) in comparison with the control group (22.22%). The implantation rates also indicated the rates of 18.12% and 10.42% for the study and control groups which were significantly different (P=0.04). More detail of the study is summarized in Table 2.
to repaired sperm DNA damage. However, the developmental competency of an inseminated oocyte is related to the mutational load which is highly dependent on female age as well as the level of DNA fragmentation of inseminated sperm (27, 28). Thus, using the latest techniques for the preparation and selection of sperm with a low degree of DNA damage may improve the ICSI outcomes and overcome infertility.

PS externalization (PSE), in addition to being part of the early apoptosis process and can be induced by external factors including ROS stress, inflammation, and hypoxia (29, 30), also mediates cell to cell interaction, sperm-oocyte fusion (31), capacitation, acrosome reaction, and fertilization (31, 32). For instance, some researches showed the in vitro exposure of sperm samples to calcium ionophore A23187, an inducer of the acrosome reaction increases the number of living sperm with PSE (15). These findings indicated that the process of PSE could be independent of apoptosis.

Regardless of the role of PSE in processes of apoptosis and capacitation, two approaches have been proposed to isolate a healthier sperm population including MACS or MACS along with DGC (9-14). The advantage of using MACS is verified by different diagnostic techniques such as TUNEL assays and sperm chromatin dispersion test for assessment of DNA fragmentation as well as aniline blue, and CMA3 staining for assessment of chromatin maturity (13, 14, 17, 19, 33). In addition, a study by Grunewald et al. (10) showed that non-apoptotic sperm populations prepared by MACS may display high transmembrane mitochondrial potential, low activation of caspases-3, and high sperm chromatin decondensation. Unlike these studies, Cakar et al. (34) suggested sperm preparation with MACS could result in the reduction of sperm population with high total sperm motility and rapid progress. In addition, this method could not significantly separate the sperm with higher DNA integrity in comparison with swim-up or DGC. Considering these conflicting results, further studies are needed in a larger population of infertile men to confirm the usefulness of this sperm isolation method.

A meta-analysis from five prospective randomized trials studies suggested that unlike the rates of implantation and miscarriage which were similar between both DGC and MACS procedures, the rate of pregnancy significantly improved in MACS procedure compared to DGC or swim-up techniques (11). A review paper (7) in this regard stated that the combination of DGC and MACS procedures could improve clinical pregnancy in infertile couples with male factor candidates for ICSI but there was no report on the live birth rate. Recently, Pacheco et al. (33) in a retrospective study demonstrated an improvement of clinical outcomes in terms of pregnancy, miscarriage, and live birth rates following ICSI by DGC-MACS in comparison with DGC in men with high DNA damage. In this regard, Hasanen et al. compared the clinical outcomes of ICSI between two sperm selection procedures; physiological ICSI (PICS) based on hyaluronan binding, and MACS.
Based on apoptosis surface marker in a prospective randomized trial. They demonstrated similar results in implantation and pregnancy rates between both procedures in men with SDF higher than 20.3% by TUNEL. Interestingly, when couples were divided according to their wife’s age, MACS was an effective method for females with ages lower than 30 years, while PICSi was suggested for females with ages higher than 30 years (35). Despite these conclusions, all these studies suggest further clinical trials are required to verify whether MACS has an advantage as a clinical complementary procedure for ICSI. One of the discrepancies that may account for different clinical outcomes between studies is likely related to the performance of DGC before or after MACS. It is of note that WHO (36) has also introduced a section on novel sperm selection procedures and also recommend the MACS/DGC method instead of the DGC/MACS.

During sperm processing methods, sperm are washed and exposed to serum-containing media, which facilitated the process of capacitation and also PSE. Therefore, DGC in presence of serum followed by MACS can result in the removal of healthy sperm which have gone through the process of capacitation rather than removal of early apoptotic sperm, which has been verified previously by our group (17). The degree of removal of true apoptotic sperm from capacitated sperm depends highly on the duration that sperm are exposed to the serum which may vary within and between studies. Therefore, this clinical trial is designed on teratozoospermic men candidates for ICSI, and the MACS procedure was carried out in absence of serum. Then, the processed sample was exposed to DGC in hope of removing “true apoptotic sperm” and not “capacitated sperm”. The results of this study demonstrated an insignificant improvement in the fertilization and clinical pregnancy rates in addition to significant improvements in embryo quality and implantation rates following MACS followed by DGC carried out in serum-free media. One of the limitations in this study was the small sample size, and un-uniﬁed ET protocol (fresh and frozen ET). Another limitation, we did not have a chance to culture these embryos to the blastocyst stage to see what is the blastocyst development rate in each arm. Also, the sperm DNA fragmentation status of semen samples in the control group was not known which might be a confounding factor for this study. Therefore, further studies were needed to verify the efficiency of this sperm preparation method. Assessment of confounding factors between the two groups revealed a higher number of ART cycles in the study group compared to control groups. Ongoing, when couples were divided according to their wife’s age, MACS followed by DGC improves embryo quality and implantation rates, but higher sample size is required to confirm the effect of this procedure on the clinical pregnancy rate.
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